

REMARKS

The Examiner's Office Action dated 04/09/04 has been reviewed. The Examiner's objection to the drawings is noted. Submitted herewith is a new formal drawings of Figure 11 for the Examiner's approval to overcome the objection to the drawings and presumably render Claims 1 - 3 allowable.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 4 - 7 as being unpatentable over the prior art and under 35 U.S.C. 103 and concludes that ". . . it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching the step of a container with a first section and a second section as taught by Klebes's into the system of Ferraro . . . ." This conclusion by the Examiner as to what would have been obvious is not well taken in view of the prior art and applicant's invention as presently claimed. There is no teaching within either of the prior art references for the combination proposed by the Examiner in light of applicant's disclosure and claims as now amended. More particularly, there is no teaching of a primary release assembly in association with an additional release assembly wherein the two assemblies are must operate together to release the object being secured. Such a feature of applicant's invention is now deemed to be particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed presently by the amendment herewith.

It would appear that the Examiner has merely gleaned miscellaneous features in the prior art and has attempted to combine them without a teaching for their combination. The only teaching is in applicant's disclosure which, by definition, is not prior art. But even if there were a teaching for the combination, the resulting structure would still fail to anticipate applicant's invention for the reasons set forth herein above.

It is deemed that the amendments herein overcome all grounds of objection and rejection. Reconsideration and a Notice of Allowance are requested.